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Abstract: Noble metal (NM)-modified wide-bandgap semiconductors with activity under visible light (Vis) irradiation, due to localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR), known as plasmonic photocatalysts, have been intensively studied over the last few years. Despite the novelty of the topic, a large number of reports have already been published, discussing the optimal properties, synthesis methods and mechanism clarification. It has been proposed that both efficient light harvesting and charge carriers’ migration are detrimental for high and stable activity under Vis irradiation. Accordingly, photonic crystals (PCs) with photonic bandgap (PBG) and slow photon effects seem to be highly attractive for efficient use of incident photons. Therefore, the study on PCs-based plasmonic photocatalysts has been conducted, mainly on titania inverse opal (IO) modified with nanoparticles (NPs) of NM. Although, the research is quite new and only several reports have been published, it might be concluded that the matching between LSPR and PBG (especially at red edge) by tuning of NMNPs size and IO-void diameter, respectively, is the most crucial for the photocatalytic activity.
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1. Introduction

Solar photocatalysis has been considered as one of the possible solutions for main crises facing humanity, i.e., energy, environment and water. It is believed that solar energy in the presence of photocatalyst might efficiently: (i) be converted into electricity/fuel, (ii) decompose chemical and microbiological pollutants, and (iii) purify water [1,2]. Accordingly, a large number of studies on development of efficient and stable photocatalysts have already been performed. Among various photocatalytic materials, wide-bandgap semiconductors are still the most widely investigated despite low harvesting efficiently of solar energy. It should be pointed out that though the wide bandgap is detrimental for light harvesting (absorption edge near 400 nm), it usually results in high reactivity in both oxidation and reduction reactions under UV, especially for oxide semiconductors, since valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) are highly positive and negative, respectively [3–5]. However, it should be also remembered that all semiconductors suffer from charge carriers’ recombination either in the bulk or on the surface [6]. Therefore, wide-bandgap semiconductors have been modified with various elements/compounds, and thus obtained materials exhibit much higher quantum yields of photocatalytic reactions [7]. For example, nanoparticles (NPs) of noble metals (NMs) have been used to inhibit charge carriers’ recombination, as NMs work as an electron sink (higher work function than electron affinity of oxides). Kraeutler and Bard were probably the first who proved this more than 40 years ago [8]. Since then various studies on photocatalytic activity enhancement by semiconductor modification with NM have been performed, including optimization of the properties...
of NM/semiconductor and the mechanism clarifications [9–12]. Additionally, some complexes and clusters of NMs have shown Vis absorption, and thus semiconductors have been modified with them to obtain Vis response, e.g., PtCl [13] and [Pt(c(CO))e2] clusters [14]. More than a decade ago another property of NMNPs have been used to activate wide-bandgap semiconductors towards Vis response, i.e., localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) [15]. Since then, many studies have been reported, and the photocatalysts containing NM deposits and being active under Vis irradiation have been named as plasmonic photocatalysts. Although the research on plasmonic photocatalysis is quite new, there are many reports on their synthesis, properties, applications, and mechanism dispute, including review papers and book chapters [16–19], and journal special issues [20,21], as shortly presented in the next sections. However, this review is not summarizing/discussing all these reports on plasmonic photocatalysis but focuses on the novel topic using semiconductors in the form of photonic crystals (PCs) as a support for NMNPs. It has been expected that such photocatalysts should possess high photocatalytic activity due to enhanced light harvesting inside PCs. The synthesis methods, properties and some application examples of PCs-based plasmonic photocatalysts are presented further.

2. Plasmonic Photocatalysis

Although, it is unknown by whom and when the term of “plasmonic photocatalysis” has been created, it is quite convenient to distinguish the activity of NM-modified wide-bandgap semiconductors under Vis irradiation from their well-known activity under UV. The activity under Vis irradiation originates from the properties of NMs, i.e., LSPR at Vis-NIR range of solar spectrum, in contrast to the activity under UV, where semiconductor is mainly responsible for the photocatalytic performance. LSPR is the result of the confinement of a surface plasmon in an NP of the size similar or smaller than the wavelength of light used to excite the plasmon, i.e., when an NP is irradiated, the oscillating electric field causes the conduction electrons to oscillate coherently. The majority of studies on plasmonic photocatalysis have been performed on titania (titanium(IV) oxide, titanium dioxide), but also other semiconductors have been tested, e.g., CeO2 [22], Fe2O3 [23], ZnO [24], KNbO3 [25], g-C3N4 [26], AgCl [27], Ag2MoO6/AgBr [28], and ZrO2@CoFe2O4 [29]. Although plasmonic properties of NMs were found more than a century ago, and commercially used in many fields (e.g., medicine, SERS, and optical data storage), the examination of their potential for photocatalysis under Vis irradiation is quite new. Despite their novelty, many studies have already been conducted, including the improvement of the photocatalytic activity and stability as well as the explanation of the mechanism under visible light. Although the application of LSPR in the photocatalysis started at the beginning of this century, it was used only for the characterization of gold deposits on titania, i.e., the formation, properties and the stability under UV irradiation [30]. Next, Au/TiO2 was used as Vis-responsive photocatalyst for generation of photocurrent [15] and oxidative degradation of organic compounds, such as methyl tert-butyl ether [31] and 2-propanol [32]. It should be mentioned that the direct proof confirming that LSPR is responsible for visible-light activity has been shown by action spectrum (AS) analyses [15,32], i.e., action spectra correlate with respective absorption spectra (the highest activity at max LSPR). Unfortunately, the mechanism under visible-light irradiation has not been agreed till now, and three main possibilities have been considered, as follows: (1) charge transfer, i.e., the transfer of “hot” electrons, (2) energy transfer, and (3) plasmonic heating (thermal activation).

In the case of charge transfer (1), the mechanism is similar to the sensitization, and thus NMs are also named as plasmonic photosensitizers. The incident photons are absorbed by NMNPs via LSPR excitation, and then electrons might be transferred from NM to the CB of semiconductor. The electrons in CB reduce oxygen (adsorbed on the surface), as typical for semiconductor photocatalysis under aerobic conditions (same as under UV irradiation). Whereas, the electron-deficient NMNPs might oxidize some organic compounds to recover to the original zero-valent state. Many studies have proved the possibility of this mechanism in various experiments, e.g., (i) interband absorption of electrons transferred from Au to TiO2 by femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy [33], (ii) the negative and positive shift of electrode potential and generation of anodic and catic.
photocurrent depending on the electrode structure, i.e., ITO/TiO$_2$/Au or ITO/Au/TiO$_2$, respectively [34,35], (iii) detection of different oxidation species under irradiation with UV and Vis by EPR experiments [36,37], and (iv) the conductivity under visible-light excitation only for plasmonic photocatalysts (no signal for bare titania) in the case of Au/TiO$_2$ [38] and Ag/TiO$_2$ [39] by time-resolved microwave conductivity (TRMC) study.

The energy transfer (2) between two materials might happen when their energy levels match closely, which is not expected for Au/TiO$_2$: photocatalysts as the bandgap of TiO$_2$: (ca. 3.0–3.2 eV) is much higher than LSPR of Au NPs (ca. 2.2–2.5 eV for spherical NPs). Accordingly, TiO$_2$: has been first pre-modified (in order to enable visible-light absorption), e.g., PRET (plasmon resonance energy transfer) has been suggested for Au NPs deposited on TiO$_2$: pre-modified with nitrogen and fluorine [40]. In the case of other Au-modified photocatalysts, e.g., pre-modified titania with nitrogen [41], narrow-bandgap semiconductors (with visible-light activity), e.g., CuWO$_4$: (2.0–2.5 eV) [42], self-doped TiO$_2$: i.e., with crystal defects [43] and amorphous TiO$_2$: with some disorders causing the localized states inside bandgap [44], PRET has also been postulated as the main mechanism.

The plasmonic heating (3) was first suggested by Chen et al. in 2008, reporting that plasmonic heated Au NPs could induce the oxidation of organic compounds [45]. Although plasmonic heating has been proposed as the main mechanism in some research, most reports have rejected this mechanism, mainly due to usually negligible activity of unsupported Au NPs and Au-modified insulators. For example, plasmonic heating has been rejected in research on water splitting [40], photocurrent generation [46], and hydrogen dissociation [47]. The study on the activation energy has also excluded plasmonic heating for degradation of organic compounds [48] and generation of photocurrent [49].

The plasmonic photocatalysts with various morphology have been prepared that differ significantly in the composition, physicochemical properties (light absorption and reagents’ adsorption), and, thus, in the overall activities. Accordingly, it is not surprising that different mechanisms have been proposed for different nanostructures. Moreover, it is also possible that complex mechanism including electron/energy transfer and even “dark” catalytic reactions [50], might be involved in the photocatalytic process.

It should be pointed out that the activity of plasmonic photocatalysts depends on the properties of both NM and semiconductor, as well as the interactions between them, e.g., uniform distribution of NM on the photocatalyst surface is usually recommended. However, in some cases, selective deposition of metals on some surfaces/facets results in improved activity [51]. For example, negligible visible-light activity has been observed for one of the most active titania photocatalysts, i.e., decahedral anatase particles (DAP), when gold has been deposited on [101] facets, resulting probably from the fast charge carriers’ recombination (electron transfer: Au $\rightarrow$ TiO$_2$: $\rightarrow$ Au), due to an intrinsic property of DAP, i.e., electron and hole reverse migration to [101] and [001] facets, respectively [52], as shown in Figure 1a,c [53]. In contrast, octahedral anatase particles (OAP) with only one type of facets [101] exhibits the highest photocatalytic activity after modification with Au NPs, despite one of the worst photoabsorption properties (narrow LSPR), among fifteen commercial and DAP samples modified with Au NPs in the same procedure, probably due to fast migration of “hot” electrons via shallow electron traps (ETs), as shown in Figure 1b,c [54].

The photoabsorption properties of plasmonic photocatalysts depend on the kind of NM, the properties of NM deposits (size and shape), and the environment (refractive index of medium). Gold and silver have been mainly used for plasmonic photocatalysis, but also other NMs have already been applied, such as palladium [55], platinum [56], and copper [57]. For example, LSPR is observed at 520–580 nm for spherical Au NPs [58] and Cu NPs [59], and at 410–430 nm for Ag NPs [60,61]). Two absorption peaks are noticed for rod-like nanostructures with transverse and longitudinal LSPR at shorter and longer wavelengths, respectively [50]. Accordingly, it has been proposed that broader LSPR peak, e.g., due to polydispersity in NMNPs, results in the higher overall activity under visible-light irradiation due to more efficient light harvesting [32,50]. In this regard, it is expected that application of photonic crystals for plasmonic photocatalysis should result in enhanced photocatalytic performance, as discussed in the Section 4.
The mechanism of possible “hot” electron transfer on Au-modified: (a) decahedral anatase particles (DAP), (b) octahedral anatase particles (OAP), and (c) DAP vs. OAP; adapted with permission from [53]. Copyright 2018 Creative Commons Attribution.

3. Photonic Crystals (PCs)

Photonic crystals (PCs), periodic optical structures affecting the motion of photons, have been considered as efficient materials for light harvesting. The consistent spatial periodicity of the refractive index (n) in the well-ordered structure of PCs prevents the pathway of some wavelengths, and, thus, resulting in the formation of the stop band and the photonic bandgap (PBG) [62]. The PBG initiates the formation of “slow photons” effects at the blue and red edges of PBG. At these edges the light is scattered and reflected, resulting in the reduction of group velocity of photons [62,63]. Accordingly, it has been proposed that “slow photon” might be utilized for light harvesting of semiconductor photocatalysts.

Various nanostructures of PCs have been designed and prepared, including one-dimensional (1D), e.g., titania nanotubes-PCs (TNTs-PCs) [64,65] and three-dimensional (3D), e.g., opal PCs and inverse opal PCs (IO-PCs). In the case of 1D PCs, TNTs-PCs are prepared similarly to any other TNTs, i.e., by electrochemical methods, including one- [64] and two-step anodization [66–68]. The preparation procedure is critical for the formation and the properties of PCs [64,67]. For example, the bathochromic shift of PBG has been observed after shortening of TNTs and increasing of their diameter [65]. Moreover, the PBG position correlates directly to the distance between TNTs and their thickness.

Recently, 3D PCs of titania have been intensively examined, because of many advantages, such as large specific surface area, tunable porosity, and, thus, efficient mass transport [69–71]. IO-PCs are the exact replica structure obtained by using the opal as a template, as shown in Figure 2. The IO-PCs are usually prepared in the three-step procedure, i.e., (i) preparation of opal structure (template) by self-assembly of colloidal particles, (ii) infiltration of titania precursor (or titania) inside the pores of opal, and (iii) the removal of sacrificial template (opal). All steps are highly important for the quality (and thus optic properties) of IO-PCs to avoid the formation of any cracks and defects [72–74]. For the formation of opal structure (i), various colloidal particles have been used, such as silica (SiO2), polystyrene (PS), and polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA). The most important for this step is the monodispersity of particles as larger variations than 5% in the size/shape inhibits the opal formation [73,75]. The self-assembly (ii) are performed by a few methods, including the vertical capillary deposition [76–78], spin coating [79], sedimentation [80], and centrifugation-assisted sedimentation [81]. Additionally, some post-thermal operations have been proposed to improve the mechanical stability and enable the good connections between the particles [82]. It has been considered that second step is the most difficult and critical, i.e., to impregnate opal with titania, obtaining the stable IO structure. Many methods have been used for this step, e.g., drop casting and capillary force [78,83], vacuum infiltration [78,83], atomic layer deposition (ALD) [84,85], spin-coating [86] and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [87]. After infiltration, the crystallization of titania is usually carried out, causing ca. 15–30% shrinkage of the structure, and, thus, the pore size reduction [88–91]. The final step (iii) of the template removal might be performed by calcination (for polymers) [77,78,83,92] or chemical treatment [93].
Figure 2. SEM images of opal polystyrene (PS) and inverse opal (IO) titania structures: (a, b) PS opal with: (a) 200-nm and (b) 250-nm sphere sizes, and (c, d) replicated titania IO films with: (c) 180-nm and (d) 207-nm voids; adapted with permission from [78]. Copyright 2018 Creative Commons Attribution.

The tuning of PBG might be performed by different ways, e.g., the angle of incident light and the change in the nanovoid diameter. For example, the shift of PBG towards shorter wavelengths has been observed after a decrease in nanovoid diameter caused by an increase in the calcination temperature [90]. The photocatalytic performance of titania IO-PCs have been examined under UV [66,94] and Vis [86,91,93] irradiation, as already summarized in review paper on titania-based photocatalysts [5]. It should be pointed out that probably the most important feature of IO is tunable nanovoid dimensions, which influences the scattering and reflection of light. Unfortunately, the contradictory results have been published. For example, it has been reported that reduced velocity of photons and inhibited charge carriers’ recombination have been the most evident for structures with the largest voids of 610 nm [95]. In contrast, the smallest voids have been reported as the most active, giving the highest photoelectrochemical enhancements in the case of titania IO sensitized by CdS quantum dots (QDs) [84]. Therefore, the resultant photocatalytic activity might depend not only on nanovoid diameter, but also on other factors, including porosity, specific surface area and kind of co-modifications.

Besides nanovoid diameter, the slow photon effect, influenced by the angle of irradiation [83,88], impacts the photocatalytic performance. For example, hypsochromic shift of PBG has been observed after increasing the irradiation angle [83,88,90], and the highest activity has been obtained under irradiation at 40° than at different angles during degradation of stearic acid on IO with 247-nm voids [64]. Although, some reports have not shown the direct correlation between the voids’ sizes and the photocatalytic activity [83], the slow photon effect, e.g., due to the overlapping between the red-edge of PBG and titania bandgap, results in the activity enhancement [83,88,96]. The comparison between the original and slightly destroyed titania IOs, e.g., by ultrasonication [94], milling [97], and grinding [89] confirms that undestroyed IO structure is necessary for high activity, e.g., 71% decrease in activity has been observed for disordered surface by milling [97].

It should be pointed out that although titania IO might exhibit PBG in Vis range of solar spectrum (depending on the void diameter), and thus is able to absorb photons with lower energy than its bandgap, this absorption should not cause the photocatalytic activity as titania is not excited
(transfer of electrons from VB to CB). Accordingly, even if some reports show visible-light activity of titania IOs, these reports have been mainly performed for activity testing during dyes’ degradation, and thus titania sensitization by dye must be considered as the main mechanism under visible-light irradiation, but not due to PC feature. Indeed, Curti et al. have confirmed that visible-light activity of titania IO is only observed for dyes (methylene blue; MB), whereas negligible effect is obtained for non-color molecules (acetaldehyde) [88]. Tomazatou et al. have indicated that high activity of dye-sensitized titania IO (MB/TiO₂) under visible light (in comparison with negligible activity by commercial titania P25) is probably caused by the slow-photon effect [98]. Chen et al. have proved that overlapping of PBG with bandgap of titania (TiO₂-coated SnO₂: IO) is the most recommended for activity enhancement, i.e., an increase in the activity in the following order of PBG: 2.55 < 2.98 < 3.35 eV [99]. Moreover, it has been shown that the highest efficiency for dye decomposition has been obtained when PBG overlaps with absorption band of MB (but away from its maximum to avoid the undesired light screening), confirming both dye-sensitization mechanism and slow photon effect [100].

To further increase the photocatalytic performance by enhanced light harvesting, IO structures have been modified with various compounds, including metals, e.g., gold [79,91], silver [93], and nickel [86,101], semiconductors, e.g., cadmium selenide and cadmium sulfide QDs [84,102] and zinc oxide NPs [103], and by “self-doping” (surface-disordered-engineered TiO₂: PCs [97]). The modifications with NM, resulting in mainly formation of plasmonic photocatalysts, are discussed in the next section.

4. NM-Based PCs

The heterostructural design of IOs, such as the coupling of IO-PCs with other semiconductors/materials and surface modifications with NMs, has been considered as an efficient method for enhanced performance of PCs. Although, various reasons have been proposed, including charge carriers’ separation, enhanced light harvesting, synergy, higher specific surface area, it is still unclear what properties are the key-factors of high activity and which of them are the most recommended for the specific application. It is expected that for photocatalytic reactions, the most advisable modification is to use the materials with ability of photon absorption near PBG. Accordingly, the application of NM with ability of visible-light absorption seems to be highly attractive because of the possible overlapping of LSPR of NM with PBG of titania IO. Moreover, PCs-based plasmonic photocatalysts might help in the clarification on the mechanism of plasmonic photocatalysis, i.e., energy vs. electron transfer.

Recently, plasmonic PCs have been intensively investigated to combine both PBG and LSPR effects together in terms of physical appearances and electronic energies for various applications [104–109]. An interesting study has been shown for negative photoresist IO (prepared by SiO₂: opal embedding) deposited with gold, where the dual-colored micropatterns (Figure 3) open the door for possible applications in various fields, including cosmetics, optical filters and coatings [104]. Although, there are more interesting examples of plasmonic PCs for various applications, the further discussion is limited to the photocatalytic reactions.

NM-modified PCs have already been proposed for photocatalysis, including, solar cells [40], water splitting [110] and mineralization of organic pollutants [100,111]. The extended path of light in terms of duration and length from the multi-scattering phenomenon by the PCs could be well utilized by the plasmonic NPs, resulting in efficient light harvesting, and thus enhanced quantum yield of photocatalytic reactions, as discussed in the Section 4.2.
4.1. Preparation of PCs-Based Plasmonic Photocatalysts

The fabrication of PCs-based plasmonic photocatalysts is quite challenging process to load/infiltrate plasmonic NPs on/in the PCs without destroying the Bragg’s diffraction property of the PCs and to retain the effective LSPR effect of NMs. The photodeposition and thermal methods have been commonly used to deposit plasmonic NPs on IO by using the adequate precursors, such as chloroplatinic acid (H₂PtCl₆) for Pt [112], silver nitrate (AgNO₃) for Ag [113], and tetrachloroauric acid (HAuCl₄) for Au [106]. NMs have been deposited on TiO₂ IO either before [106] or after [99] its infiltration inside opal structure. Apart from that, hydrothermal method has been proposed to load Au NPs on TiO₂ PC, enhancing the stability due to the interphase sintering between TiO₂ backbone and Au NPs [91]. Erola et al. have reported a facile method for the preparation of Ag- and Au-loaded PCs via co-assembly and infiltration methods, as shown in Figure 4 [111]. Interestingly, the co-assembly method is not suitable for Ag deposition on the SiO₂-IO due to diminishing of LSPR after calcination. It has been concluded that because of less thermal stability of Ag than Au, Ag is easily oxidized during calcination. Hence, the suitable method (exemplified in Table 1) must be select carefully for a good quality of PCs-based plasmonic photocatalysts.

4.2. Photocatalytic Applications

Although, a large number of studies on plasmonic photocatalysis has been published, only few reports might be found on PCs-based plasmonic photocatalysis. NM-modified PCs have been used in the photocatalysis field, as summarized in Table 1, due to few advantages, as follows: (i) the absorption at Vis-NIR as most of the wide-bandgap semiconductors are unable to absorb light at those regions, (ii) slow photons arising from PBG/photonic effect owning to the PCs, which might enhance and strengthen the LSPR effect, and (iii) the role of NMNPs as an electron sink, which reduces the charge carriers’ recombination. Although the inhibition of recombination should not be considered as plasmonic photocatalysis, various reports point this feature, which surely might enhance the overall activity under solar radiation, i.e., at UV-Vis range.
Table 1. Synthesis methods and activity tests for NM-modified PCs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmonic Based PCs</th>
<th>Plasmonic NPs Loading/Deposition Methods</th>
<th>Photocatalytic Tests</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt/PC-TiO₂</td>
<td>gas bubbling-assisted membrane reduction</td>
<td>CO₂ reduction; ( \lambda = 320-780 \text{ nm} ); I degradation of 2,4-dichlorophenol; ( \lambda &gt; 420 \text{ nm} ); II MB degradation; ( \lambda = 254 \text{ nm and } 400-760 \text{ nm} ); III</td>
<td>3.2 times higher activity *</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ PC/Au NPs</td>
<td>in situ hydrothermal reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag₅TiO₅</td>
<td>chemical route</td>
<td>MB degradation; ( \lambda = 400-760 \text{ nm} ); III</td>
<td>SPR and PBG enhancing activity</td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-TiO₂-NAA-DBRs</td>
<td>sputter coating</td>
<td>MB degradation; III</td>
<td>enhanced activity</td>
<td>[100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂-Au-CdS</td>
<td>immersion and chemical bath deposition</td>
<td>H₂ production; ( \lambda &gt; 420 \text{ nm} ); IV</td>
<td>enhanced H₂ generation at blue-edge PBG</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-Pt-TiO₂-o film</td>
<td>photodeposition</td>
<td>degradation of acid orange; ( \lambda &gt; 400 \text{ nm} ); I</td>
<td>4-fold enhancement *</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/ZnO-PCS</td>
<td>magnetron sputtering</td>
<td>photodegradation of RhB; ( \lambda &gt; 420 \text{ nm} ); II CO₂</td>
<td>24.8-fold higher than commercial ZnO</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-PCTNTs</td>
<td>magnetron sputtering</td>
<td>photoreduction; ( \lambda &gt; 400 \text{ nm} ); III MB degradation; ( \lambda &gt; 420 \text{ nm} ); III</td>
<td>high selectivity of methane generation enhanced activity</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag/BiVO₄</td>
<td>electrodeposition</td>
<td>IPCE (400-700 nm); photocurrent ≥ 420 nm; III</td>
<td>PBG matching with LSPR—enhanced activity</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/TNTs</td>
<td>photodeposition</td>
<td>PEC water splitting; ( \lambda &gt; 420 \text{ nm} ); II</td>
<td>0.71% of solar energy conversion</td>
<td>[117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/TiO₂ photoanode</td>
<td>facile ionic layer adsorption and thermal-reduction</td>
<td>MO degradation ( \lambda &gt; 420 \text{ nm} ); III</td>
<td>7-fold increase *</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/TiO₂ PC</td>
<td>chemical route</td>
<td>MO degradation; ( \lambda &gt; 420 \text{ nm} ); I</td>
<td>4-fold increase *</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/Pt/3DOM TiO₂</td>
<td>dropwise and thermal reduction</td>
<td>MO degradation; ( \lambda &gt; 420 \text{ nm} ); I</td>
<td>acetylene mineralization; ( \lambda = 365 \text{ nm} ); I</td>
<td>enhanced activity *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂-IO</td>
<td>immersion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/AgNPs film</td>
<td>pulsed electrochemical deposition</td>
<td>H₂ production; ( \lambda &gt; 400 \text{ nm} ); II</td>
<td>enhanced activity</td>
<td>[122]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ag₅TiO₅—TiO₂ IO films loaded with Ag NPs; i-Pt-TiO₂-o film—TiO₂ IO loaded with Pt nanoclusters; MB—methylene blue; MO—methyl orange; NAA-DBRs—functionalized nanoporous anodic alumina distributed Bragg reflectors; PEC—photoelectrochemical; PCTNTs—PCs consisting of TiO₂ nanotube arrays; rGO/Pt/3DOM TiO₂—3D-ordered macroporous TiO₂ with Pt and reduced graphene oxide; RhB—rhodamine B; * activity in the comparison with the reference sample; excitation of: I—semiconductor, II—NM, III—both (semiconductor and NM), IV—co-modifier (CdS).
However, the aspect mentioned at (ii) should be only expected if LSPR matches with the slow photons effect, which could be realized by the perfect tuning in the fabrication process. Indeed, even a decrease in the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂-coated nanoporous alumina IO has been observed after deposition of Au NPs or Ag NPs on its surface, where both effects do not match, i.e., LSPR at ca. 400–550 nm and PBG at ca. 800 nm [100]. In contrast, Lu et al. have succeeded in the precise tuning in fabrication of Au-NP/TiO₂ PC with LSPR overlapping with the blue edge of PBG, resulting in 2.3-fold higher photocatalytic efficiency for photodegradation of 2,4-dichlorophenol in comparison to the reference Au-NP/nanocrystalline TiO₂ under visible-light irradiation [91]. Similarly, Zhang et al. [118] have shown the enhanced photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting by using the Au-NP-modified TiO₂ nanorod array structure (Au/TiO₂ NRPCs) by overlapping of LSPR with red edge of PBG effect (Figure 5), utilizing the slow photons (max at ca. 518 nm). Additionally, both studies [91,118] have indicated that red-edge PBG is more effective than blue-edge one. At the red-edge PBG, the light propagate with decrease group velocity, being described as a sinusoidal standing wave that has its highest amplitude in the high refractive index part of the PCs, whereas the standing wave at blue edge is localized in the low-refractive index part. Accordingly, an absorber at high dielectric part has stronger interactions with light at red-edge regions of PBG. Similarly, IO-molybdenum: bismuth vanadium (Mo:BiVO₄) photodeode with infiltrated Au NPs has shown an increase in PEC water splitting, and the enhancement has been attributed to the amplified LSPR effect when the polystyrene (PS) template of 260-nm particles results in PBG at 513 nm, synergistically matching with the LSPR of Au [123].

An important aspect that should be considered for 3D powdered PC-based plasmonic photocatalysts is the periodical/structural integrity as disordered structure could affect the enhancement of the visible-light harvesting, thus reducing the photocatalytic performance. Indeed, the crushed Au/TiO₂ biomorphic (BM)PCs have exhibited lower photodegradation efficiency (Figure 6) as compared with uncruished ones [120]. Similarly, C. Dinh et al. have shown that the crushed Au/TiO₂-3D hollow nanospheres (HNSs) show about 4.3-fold lower efficiency of CO₂ generation from
photodecomposition of isopropanol as compared with an original Au/TiO$_2$-3DHNS, indicating the probability of a decrease in photoabsorption ability by Au, due to the structure disruption [124]. It should be pointed out that although the partial crushing of IO structure results in a decrease in the activity in both studies, those activities have been much higher than that by a reference sample of commercial titania modified with Au NPs (Au/TiO$_2$-P25), highlighting the synergism between the periodic porous structure (although slightly destroyed) and plasmonic effect.
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**Figure 5.** (a) Low-magnification and (b) high-resolution scanning electron microscopy images of Au/TiO$_2$ PCs on the fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) substrate, (c) Diffused reflectance spectra of TiO$_2$ nanorods (NRs), Au/TiO$_2$ NRs, TiO$_2$ NRPCs, Au/TiO$_2$ NRPCs (PBG marked with dark arrow and slow-photon region marked with red arrow); Reprinted with permission (after formatting) from [118]. Copyright (2014) RSC.
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**Figure 6.** The time course of the photocatalytic degradation of MO under visible-light irradiation ($\lambda > 420$ nm) using bare PCs (TiO$_2$-BMPC), Au-modified PCs (Au/TiO$_2$-BMPC), crushed Au-modified PCs (Au/TiO$_2$-BMPC) and commercial titania modified with Au NPs (Au/TiO$_2$-P25): (a) a decrease in the concentration of dye during degradation ($C/C_0$), and (b) the respective logarithm plots; Reprinted with permission (after formatting) from [120]. Copyright (2016) Elsevier.

NMNPs are known for their ability to inhibit the recombination of charge carriers, by trapping electrons (as already discussed in Introduction). It should be underlined that this effect could not be described as plasmonic photocatalysis since the semiconductor is excited, but not NM. Accordingly, NMNPs, such as Pt, Au, and Ag have been infiltrated/incorporated on PCs to enhance the photocatalytic activity [93,125]. For example, Huo et al. have shown a facile preparation method of NM-modified PCs by incorporation of Pt NPs and reduced graphene oxide (r-GO) on TiO$_2$ IO macroporous structure (Figure 7a), highlighting the enhanced photodegradation of methyl orange [123]. However, it should be pointed out that this study could not be considered as plasmonic photocatalysis as TiO$_2$ instead of NM is excited, as shown in Figure 7b. In contrast, Temerov et al. have discussed the enhanced mineralization of acetylene to CO$_2$ on TiO$_2$-IO modified with Au NPs and Ag NPs (by 53% and 39%, respectively), owing to the LSPR activation (plasmonic photocatalysis), i.e., electron transfer from NM to TiO$_2$ with simultaneous oxidation of acetylene on
the NM surface, but irradiation has been performed with UVA, and thus TiO$_2$ excitation must also be considered [124].

Figure 7. Schematic illustrations of: (a) the morphology of TiO$_2$-IO co-modified with Pt NPs and reduced graphene oxide (r-GO), and (b) the proposed charge carriers’ separation and migration in rGO/Pt/TiO$_2$ (not plasmonic activation); Reprinted with permission from [123]. Copyright (2019) ACS.

Interestingly, plasmonic photocatalysis using both functions of NMs, i.e., plasmonic excitation and inhibition of charge carriers’ recombination, has been proposed by Rahul et al. for bi-metal-modified TiO$_2$-IO co-doped with N/F [106]. The LSPR excitation of Au results in an electron transfer from Au to CB of TiO$_2$, and then to co-deposited Pt NPs, on which H$_2$ evolution takes place, as shown in Figure 8. It should be pointed out that co-catalyst is necessary for efficient H$_2$ evolution on titania, and Pt is probably the most active co-catalyst for this reaction [5,126].

Figure 8. (a) Schematic illustration of the proposed mechanism of H$_2$ generation over Au-Pt/N-F TiO$_2$-IO-460 under solar light irradiation; SEM images of: (b,c) Au-Pt/ N-F TiO$_2$-IO-215: (b) top view and (c) cross section view, and (d,e) Au-Pt/N-F TiO$_2$-IO-460: (d) top view and (e) cross section view; Reprinted with permission (after formatting) from [106]. Copyright (2018) WILEY.

In the case of NM deposition on the PCs, the amount of NM is critical for the enhancement of the photocatalytic activity. For example, 2 wt.% of Pt loading on TiO$_2$-IO PC has been shown as the best, whereas 1.3 wt.% is not able to exhibit any amplification in the dye photodegradation [112]. Similarly, it has been shown that the lowest (2 mM) and the highest (20 mM) amount of deposited Ag (in terms of precursor concentration) on TiO$_2$-PC is not able to amplify the light absorption as the former is inadequate to exhibit LSPR and the latter results in Ag NPs’ aggregation, diminishing the activity [93]. An interesting study has been shown for the correlation between Ag-NP deposition duration (15, 30, 45, and 60 s) by pulse current deposition on TiO$_2$-IO and the photocatalytic activity, as shown in Figure 9 [99]. Longer deposition duration has resulted in the formation of Ag aggregates.
(Figure 9c), which directly affects the MB photodegradation efficiency, due to the loss of LSPR effect (the aggregation) and blockage of the charge carriers’ migration. Similarly, S. Zhang et al. have shown that the number of deposition cycles of Cu NPs on TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays (TNTs) affects the efficiency of photocatalytic water splitting, and 20 cycles results in 10.7-fold higher evolution of H$_2$ as compared to the reference sample (without Cu), due to the amplified plasmonic excitation [126]. Although further increase in deposition cycles (>20) has reduced the photocatalytic activity (non-clarified reason), the efficiency has been much higher than that by non-modified TNTs.

Moreover, it has also been postulated that the localization of NM in/on PCs might be crucial for the overall activity. For example, Lim et al. have proposed that deposition of NM layer on PCs is not recommended, as the majority of light is absorbed in the metallic coating before it reaches the bulk PCs structure, resulting in a decrease in the overall photocatalytic activity, similar to well-known “screen-effect” in other NM-TiO$_2$ structures [5,12]. Accordingly, it has been proposed that the preparation of titania IO structures with NMNPs inside each void would be the most profitable. In this regard, the size of NMNPs as well as void diameter should be tuned well to allow the matching between LSPR of NM and PBG of TiO$_2$. Our recent study on Au NPs deposited in voids of TiO$_2$ IOs has confirmed the high enhancement of activity under irradiation with wavelengths correlating with LSPR of Au NPs and PBG of TiO$_2$ IO (unpublished data [127]).

5. Conclusions

Plasmonic photocatalysis is probably one of the hottest topics in heterogeneous photocatalysis research because of possible applications under broad wavelength ranges (UV/Vis/NIR). Although, the research is quite new, there are many reports on preparation, characterization, activity and stability enhancement, mechanism clarifications, including various applications, e.g., solar energy conversion, degradation of chemical and microbiological pollutants and CO$_2$ conversion. However, the low quantum yields of photocatalytic reactions under visible-light irradiation are critical for the overall activity and possible applications. Accordingly, enhanced light harvesting is highly important for the activity enhancement. PCs seem to be the best materials for efficient light utilization. However, it should be pointed out that PBG and slow photon effects at Vis/NIR range of solar radiation should not be able to excite wide-bandgap semiconductors, due to insufficient energy. Although, some
reports show photocatalytic activity of bare TiO$_2$ IO structures, those studies have been mainly performed for dyes, resulting in titania sensitization by dyes. However, PCs-based plasmonic photocatalysts due to LSPR absorption have been promising candidates for high photocatalytic performance. Accordingly, such photocatalysts have been synthesized, characterized and tested. However, the preparation of both PCs and PCs-based plasmonic photocatalysts is quite challenging, involving multi-step procedures.

It has been proposed that precise and fine tuning of photoabsorption properties, i.e., PBG matching with LSPR by nanoarchitecture of PCs void diameter and NMNPs size, respectively, is the most important aspect for the PCs-based plasmonic photocatalysts. It should be pointed out that the content of NM and structure configuration might be also a key-factor for photocatalytic activity. For example, too low content of NMs result in low LSPR, whereas too large content might cause NPs aggregation. The deposition of NMs on the surface of IO might also block the light (screening effect). Accordingly, it is proposed that the preparation of PCs with NM deposited in voids would be the most recommended for efficient light harvesting, and, thus, the overall photocatalytic effect.
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**Abbreviations**

| 1D   | one-dimensional |
| 3D   | three-dimensional |
| AVD  | atomic vapor deposition |
| BM   | biomorphic |
| CB   | conduction band |
| CVD  | chemical vapor deposition |
| DAP  | decahedral anatase particles |
| ETs  | electron traps |
| FTO  | fluorine-doped tin oxide |
| HNSs | hollow nanospheres |
| IO   | inverse opal |
| IPCE | incident photon-to-electron conversion efficiency |
| LSPR | localized surface plasmon resonance |
| MB   | methylene blue |
| NIR  | near infrared |
| NM   | noble metals |
| NPs  | nanoparticles |
| NRs  | nanorods |
| OAP  | octahedral anatase particles |
| PCs  | photonic crystals |
| PBG  | photonic bandgap |
| PRET | plasmon resonance energy transfer |
| PMMA | polymethyl methacrylate |
| PS   | polystyrene |
| QDs  | quantum dots |
| r-GO | reduced graphene oxide |
| TNTs | titania nanotubes |
| UV   | ultraviolet |
| VB   | valence band |
| Vis  | visible light |
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